

ROBINS ■ KAPLAN LLP

1325 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 212 980 7400 TEL
 SUITE 2601 212 980 7499 FAX
 NEW YORK, NY 10019 ROBINSKAPLAN.COM

GABRIEL BERG
 212 980 7458 TEL
 GBERG@ROBINSKAPLAN.COM

March 15, 2024

Hon. Victoria Reznik
 U.S. Magistrate Judge
 Southern District of New York
 300 Quarropas St.
 White Plains, NY 10601-4150

**Re: *The Wave Studio, LLC v. General Hotel Management Ltd., et al.,*
 Case No. 7-13-cv-09239-CS-VR**

Dear Judge Reznik:

We write pursuant to Your Honor's March 1, 2024 Minute Entry Order ("Order"). In its Order, this Court held: "By no later than March 15, 2024, Plaintiff will do the following: (1) produce to all Defendants a native version of the pdf spreadsheets attached to the Master Complaint; (2) produce to all Defendants an electronic copy of Plaintiff's library of photographs; (3) identify the "Display-Only Defendants" to all Defendants and file a letter on the docket with the same information for the Court; and (4) submit to each of the Display-Only Defendants a formal settlement demand that includes Plaintiff's rationale in support. After Plaintiff identifies the Display-Only Defendants, the Court will schedule *ex parte* Pre-Settlement Conference calls with the relevant parties." ECF, March 1, 2024 Order.

1. On Wednesday, March 13, 2024, all Defendants were served with native spreadsheet versions of the pdfs attached to the Master Complaint.

2. On Wednesday, March 13, 2024, all Defendants were served with electronic versions of Plaintiff's library of photographs at issue in the Singapore Action—and here. These photographs include 2,381 published photographs owned by Wave and 756 unpublished photographs also owned by Wave. Plaintiff's electronic library is organized by hotel and by published and unpublished photographs. This organization of Wave's photographs makes it even easier for Defendants to compare the 3,137 photographs against their own libraries of photographs, or to work collaboratively with Plaintiff to do so.

Hon. Victoria Reznik
 March 15, 2024
 Page 2

Undertaking this exercise, with or without collaborating with Plaintiff, necessarily will identify a more complete universe of infringement. Plaintiff renews its request that the Court order Defendants to undertake this task.

3. The Display-Only Defendants include:

1. Air Canada	2. American Airlines	3. Andrew Harper, LLC	4. British Airways	5. Cathay Pacific Airways Ltd.
6. Delta Airways	7. Escala Vacations	8. Esteban Oliverez	9. Farebuzz	10. Frommer Media
11. Frosch Int'l Travel, Inc.	12. Getaroom.com	13. Hipmunck, Inc.	14. Hotelsbyme.com	15. IBT Media Inc.
16. Jetblue Airways	17. Joe Mazzarella (roomrate)	18. KLM Royal Dutch Airlines	19. Lonely Planet Global Inc.	20. Luxemont, LLC.
21. Luxury Travel Media (Luxury Travel Magazine.com)	22. Market Es Inc (Hotels and Discounts.com)	23. Metro Travel Guide	24. Mobissimo Inc.	25. MySoBe.com
26. Netadvantage.com	27. Nextag, Inc.	28. Quantas Airways	29. Qatar Airways	30. Random House (fodors)
31. Reservation Counter	32. Room 77	33. Southwest Airlines	34. Spa Finder, Inc.	35. Swiss Int'l Air Lines, Ltd.
36. Tablet, Inc.	37. This Exit LLC	38. United Airlines		

4. By the end of today, each Display-Only infringer with counsel will have been served a Demand Letter, unique to that Display-Only Defendant.¹ The demand letter to the Display-Only infringers contains an individual settlement

¹ Ten Display-Only Defendants are unrepresented by counsel. Plaintiff diligently is working to identify senior executives within each company to whom the Demand Letter will be delivered (with a cover email urging counsel for that unrepresented party to contact Plaintiff's counsel). This task may spill over into early next week.

Hon. Victoria Reznik
March 15, 2024
Page 3

demand based on a reasonable royalty, multiplied by the number of infringements currently known.

5. As proposed by this Court's Order, Wave has agreed to produce "excerpts of the Singapore case settlement agreement, addressing the payment amount, scope of settlement, and which photographs were covered by the agreement." Plaintiff respectfully requests that it be permitted to do so by March 22, 2024.

Consistent with Plaintiff's March 8, 2024 letter, each Display-Only Defendant will receive evidence of infringement—snapshots in time at various points over the years based on Plaintiff's investigations and not the universe of infringement – by March 22, 2024.

Respectfully Submitted,
Gabriel Berg
Gabriel Berg
Partner

Plaintiff's request that it be permitted to produce excerpts of the Singapore case settlement agreement by March 22, 2024, is **GRANTED**.

Plaintiff has identified 38 "Display-Only Defendants" but writes that ten of them are unrepresented by counsel. For the Court's convenience, Plaintiff is directed to submit a letter to the Court that reproduces the chart above in this letter with annotations as to which ten Defendants are unrepresented.

The Court will begin scheduling ex parte pre-settlement conference calls with Plaintiff and the Display-Only Defendants that are represented by counsel.

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Victoria Reznik, U.S.M.J.

Dated: March 18, 2024